

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/912,341	Applicant(s) YUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Jason T. Whipkey	Art Unit 2612	

All Participants:

(1) Jason T. Whipkey.

(2) John Krause.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~11:00 a.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:
Hashimoto (USP 6,956,605)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


NGOG YEN YU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notified the attorney that new art has been discovered that would result in a rejection under 35 USC 102(e). The examiner also noted that the rejection could be overcome with an Examiner's Amendment to change "different areas" to "different sizes". The attorney indicated Applicant's approval of the amendment in a phone call on February 28, 2006 at 5:30 p.m. .